- 1 Series: Refuge Management
- 2 **Part 601:** National Wildlife Refuge System
- 3 **601 FW 3:** Biological Integrity, Diversity, and Environmental Health

Will supersede 601 FW 3, 4/16/2001, as amended 07/31/2006

4 5 6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Topics	Sections
OVERVIEW	3.1 What is the purpose of this chapter?3.2 What is the scope of this chapter?
	3.3 What is the authority for this chapter?
	3.4 What terms do you need to know to understand this chapter? 3.5 What is the Biological Integrity, Diversity, and Environmental
	Health (BIDEH) policy?
	3.6 What are the goals of this policy?
<u>RESPONSIBILITIES</u>	3.7 What are the Service's responsibilities for the BIDEH policy and its implementation?
KEY CONCEPTS AND	3.8 How does the Service implement this policy?
PROCESSES FOR	3.9 What are the principles underlying this policy?
ENSURING BIDEH	3.10 What management directives does the Service follow to ensure BIDEH?
	3.11 What factors does the Service consider when maintaining, restoring, and enhancing BIDEH?
	3.12 How does the Service reference historical conditions and incorporate information on environmental change into management decisions, and where do employees obtain this information?
MANAGEMENT	3.13 How does the Service conduct select management activities
DECISIONS	and uses identified in the BIDEH regulations?
	3.14 How does the Service make management decisions to
	maintain, restore, and enhance BIDEH?
	3.15 How does the Service protect BIDEH from actions outside of refuges?

OVERVIEW

10 **3.1 What is the purpose of this chapter?** This chapter establishes the U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service's (Service) policy for maintaining and, where necessary and appropriate, restoring and enhancing the Biological Integrity, Diversity, and Environmental Health (BIDEH) of the National Wildlife

13 Refuge System (Refuge System). This is an update to the 2001 BIDEH policy.

14

7

8 9

15 **3.2 What is the scope of this chapter?** This policy applies to all Refuge System units.

16 17 3.3 What is the authority for this chapter? The authority for this chapter is the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System 18 19 Improvement Act of 1997, 16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee (Refuge Administration Act, as amended). Section 20 668dd(a)(4)(B) of this law states, "In administering the System, the Secretary shall . . . ensure that the 21 biological integrity, diversity, and environmental health of the System are maintained for the benefit of 22 present and future generations of Americans." This is one of 14 directives to the Secretary of the 23 Interior that is in the Refuge Administration Act, as amended, which also calls on the Secretary, for 24 example, to ensure effective coordination with adjacent landowners and State fish and wildlife

agencies, and to provide opportunities for the public to experience compatible wildlife-dependent

- 26 recreation.
- 27

28 **3.4** What terms do you need to know to understand this chapter?

A. Adaptation. Adjustment in natural or human systems to a new or changing environment that uses
 beneficial opportunities or moderates negative effects.

B. Anthropogenic change. Environmental change that humans cause or influence, either directly or
 indirectly.

C. Biological integrity. The capacity of an ecological system to support and maintain a full range of biotic composition, structure, function, and processes over time, that exhibit diversity, connectivity, and resilience at genetic, organism, population, and community levels. We evaluate biological integrity by referencing historical conditions, recognizing that climate change and other anthropogenic change are influencing refuge ecosystems.

- D. Climate change mitigation. Measures taken to reduce the amount and speed of future climate
 change by reducing emissions of heat-trapping gases or removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere,
 including improving ecosystem capacity for biological carbon sequestration.
- E. Connectivity. The degree to which landscapes, waterscapes, and seascapes allow species to move
 freely and ecological processes to function unimpeded.
- F. Conservation translocation. Deliberately moving organisms from one site to another for release,
 with the intention of yielding a measurable conservation benefit at the levels of a population, species, or
 ecosystem.
- **G. Diversity.** The variety of life and its processes, including the richness and abundance of living organisms, the genetic differences among them, and communities and ecosystems in which they occur. We evaluate diversity by referencing historical conditions, recognizing that climate change and other anthropogenic change are influencing refuge ecosystems.
- H. Ecological transformation. The shift in an ecosystem, resulting in a new system that deviates from
 prior ecosystem structure and function or species composition.
- 61 **I. Ecosystem.** Systems comprised of biota (living organisms), the abiotic environment (e.g., air, light, 62 soils, water), the interactions within and between them, and the physical space in which these 63 operate.
- 64
 65 J. Environmental change. An alteration or disturbance of the environment caused by humans or
 66 natural processes that generates differences in the function or characteristics of an ecosystem.
- K. Environmental health. Composition, structure, and functioning of soil, water, air, and other abiotic
 features, including the abiotic processes that shape the environment. We evaluate environmental
 health by referencing historical conditions, recognizing that climate change and other anthropogenic
 change are influencing refuge ecosystems.
- L. Historical conditions. The known or estimated composition, structure, and function of ecosystems
 that existed prior to ecological degradation caused by anthropogenic change, based on best available
 scientific and historical information.
- 76

M. Indigenous Knowledge (IK). A body of observations, oral and written knowledge, practices, and beliefs developed by Indigenous Peoples and applied to phenomena across biological, physical, cultural, and spiritual systems. IK can develop over millennia, continues to evolve, and includes insights based on evidence acquired through direct relationships with the environment, long-term experiences, extensive observation, and lessons and skills passed from generation to generation.

- N. Indigenous Peoples. Refers broadly to all Indigenous Peoples within all parts of the United States,
 not just those that have been federally recognized. This includes State-recognized Tribes; indigenous
 and Tribal community-based organizations; individual members of federally recognized Tribes,
 including those living outside Indian country; individual members of State-recognized Tribes; Alaska
 Natives; Native Hawaiians; Native Pacific Islanders; descendent communities; and individual Native
 Americans.
- 89

94

99

102

O. Invasive species. With respect to a particular ecosystem, a non-native organism, including its
 seeds, eggs, spores, or other biological material, capable of propagating that species, whose
 introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm, or harm to human, animal, or
 plant health.

- **P. Native.** With respect to a particular ecosystem, a species that, other than as a result of an introduction, historically occurred or currently occurs in that ecosystem, including when such a species expands or shifts its range as a result of natural processes in response to environmental change.
- **Q. Natural processes.** Interactions among plants, animals, and the environment that occur without substantial human influence.
- 103**R. Predator control.** Actions or programs with the intent or potential to alter predator-prey population104dynamics on a refuge by reducing a population of native predators through lethal or nonlethal methods,105except for actions necessary to protect public health and safety and those listed under section106 $\underline{3.13(A)(2)}$.
- 1073.5 What is the BIDEH policy? This policy:
- A. Guides implementation of the BIDEH mandate that Refuge Managers follow in fulfilling refuge
 purpose(s) and the mission of the Refuge System.
- 112
- **B.** Sets forth a framework by which we maintain and, where necessary and appropriate, restore and enhance BIDEH on refuges, both individually and as a network of intact, functioning, and resilient habitats for fish, wildlife, and plants, for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans.
- 116
- **C.** Helps provide for the conservation, management, and protection of the broad array of fish, wildlife, plants, and habitats found on refuges and associated ecosystems, while considering the effects of anthropogenic change on ecological processes, including climate change, habitat loss, invasive species, overexploitation, pollution, and other anthropogenic stressors.
- 120
- 3.6 What are the goals of this policy? Our goals are to:
- 124 **A.** Provide guidance on:
- 125

126 (1) Ensuring the BIDEH of the Refuge System is maintained through the conservation and

127 management of refuge ecosystems and all their components and processes across multiple spatial

128 scales:

129

- (2) Promoting natural processes; and 130
- 131 132
 - (3) Addressing ecological transformation caused by climate change and other anthropogenic change to 133 accomplish the Refuge System mission. 134

135 B. Direct Refuge System employees to use the best available scientific information, relying on sound professional judgment, and Indigenous Knowledge to ensure that refuge management contributes to 136 and does not diminish BIDEH for the benefit of fish and wildlife conservation. 137 138

- 139 **C.** Describe our principles and directives for conserving and managing refuge ecosystems to ensure 140 the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
- 141 142 D. Provide direction on select refuge management activities and uses that may support the BIDEH of the Refuge System when appropriately applied and explain the standard for employing these 143 144 management tools. The specific activities and uses this policy addresses include predator control, conservation translocations, genetically engineered organisms, invasive species management, 145 146 pesticide use, agricultural practices, and mosquito control.
- 147

RESPONSIBILITIES 148 149

- 150 3.7 What are the Service's responsibilities for the BIDEH policy and its implementation? See Table 3-1. 151
- 152

153

Table 3-1: Responsibilities for BIDEH Policy and Its Implementation

These employees	Are responsible for
A. The Director	Approving national policy, goals, and objectives for maintaining, restoring, and enhancing the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
B. Chief – Refuge System (Headquarters)	(1) Managing efforts to ensure the Refuge System achieves BIDEH, which includes development of this policy and overseeing its implementation.
	(2) Ensuring that national plans and partnerships support conservation and management of the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
	(3) Ensuring that the national land acquisition strategy for the Refuge System is designed to enhance the BIDEH of the Refuge System at all spatial scales, in alignment with our Strategic Growth policy (<u>602 FW 5</u>) and in consideration of climate change impacts.
	(4) Providing support and guidance to Refuge Managers and Regional Refuge Chiefs regarding peer review and risk assessment processes, when applicable.
C. Regional Directors	(1) Implementing this policy by approving Regional goals and objectives for maintaining, restoring, and enhancing the BIDEH of the Refuge System, including resolving conflicts to promote and uphold BIDEH in response to anthropogenic stressors.
	(2) Ensuring that landscape plans and Regional partnerships support

These employees	Are responsible for
	maintenance and restoration of the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
D. Regional Refuge Chiefs	(1) Implementing this policy by providing Regional goals and objectives for maintaining, restoring, and enhancing the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
	(2) Ensuring that refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plans support maintaining, restoring, and enhancing the BIDEH of the Refuge System.
	(3) Reviewing and ensuring management programs that occur on refuges are consistent with this policy.
E. Refuge Managers	(1) Incorporating the principles and directives of this policy into all refuge management plans and actions.
	(2) Coordinating with Regional leadership and Refuge System Headquarters to lead peer review and risk assessment processes, when applicable.

155 KEY CONCEPTS AND PROCESSES FOR ENSURING 156 BIDEH

157

3.8 How does the Service implement this policy? In addition to the responsibilities we describe in
 section 3.7E, Refuge Managers must carry out the following tasks, which they may conduct through
 refuge planning processes (see 602 FW 1-6), National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analyses, and
 compatibility determinations:

A. Identify the refuge's purpose(s), legislative responsibilities, and ecological role within the landscape.
 Consider the relationships between these factors, BIDEH, and the Refuge System mission to identify
 and resolve potential conflicts among them.

B. Assess the current status of BIDEH on the refuge through appropriate environmental studies,
 including, but not limited to, baseline vegetation studies or population surveys and studies.

C. Consider potential impacts to BIDEH from anthropogenic change by referencing historical conditions and comparing them to current conditions and plausible future ecological conditions, especially with respect to climate change.

- D. Consider how environmental change is affecting or will affect refuge fish, wildlife, plants, and
 habitats and identify the refuge's future potential to conserve vulnerable species and sustain diverse,
 functioning, resilient ecosystems that support adaptation to climate change.
- 177

178 **E.** Consider the refuge's contribution to local, regional, and landscape scales of BIDEH, including in the 179 context of anthropogenic change and ecological transformation, as appropriate.

180

F. When identifying desired future conditions for the refuge and appropriate management actions to

achieve those conditions in accordance with 602 FW 1-6 and this policy, determine the appropriate
 management direction to maintain, restore, or enhance BIDEH on the refuge, while still achieving
 refuge purpose(s).

G. Conduct all refuge management activities and uses in accordance with the principles, management directives, and relevant guidance in this policy and with our BIDEH regulations at 50 CFR 29.3 (BIDEH regulations- *under development*).

189

H. Incorporate adaptive management principles (522 DM 1) into decision making through an iterative
 cycle in which we evaluate, learn, and apply new information to our conservation practices using a
 scientific, systematic approach that is based on measurable objectives and targeted monitoring in
 alignment with Service policy on inventory and monitoring (see 701 FW 2).

194

202

195 **3.9 What are the principles underlying this policy?**

A. Wildlife First. The Refuge Administration Act, as amended, clearly establishes that wildlife
 conservation is the singular Refuge System mission. <u>House Report 105-106</u>, which accompanies the
 National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 states, "... the fundamental mission of our
 System is wildlife conservation: wildlife and wildlife conservation must come first." BIDEH are critical
 components of wildlife conservation.

B. Fulfill refuge purposes and ensure BIDEH. The Refuge Administration Act, as amended, states that the Service must manage each refuge to fulfill both the Refuge System mission and the specific purpose(s) for which that refuge was established. It requires that we maintain the BIDEH of the Refuge System. Refuge Managers accomplish these integral mandates together by conserving and managing, and, where necessary and appropriate, restoring and enhancing BIDEH on each refuge.

C. Consider BIDEH at multiple spatial scales. We may measure BIDEH at various spatial scales, from refuge to local, regional, and landscape scales. We seek to maintain, restore, or enhance BIDEH at the refuge scale, and support conservation at larger spatial scales where it is feasible and supports fulfillment of refuge purpose(s) and the Refuge System mission.

D. Promote natural processes. Refuge management must allow for and defer to natural processes unless they cannot achieve refuge conservation goals and objectives. In those cases, we may intervene to supplement natural processes with science-based management techniques that maintain, restore, or mimic natural ecosystem processes or functions, or facilitate adaptation to anthropogenic change. Such management actions may range from preservation to active manipulation of habitats and populations to ensure BIDEH.

220

221 E. Consider climate and other anthropogenic change. We acknowledge that climate change is rapidly and fundamentally affecting all ecosystems at a global scale. Refuge Managers must make 222 223 complex decisions to fulfill refuge purposes and the Refuge System mission, as well as to ensure 224 BIDEH, under uncertain climate-driven ecological conditions, in accordance with Service policy on Climate Change Action (056 FW 1). To be flexible and responsive to change, we should continually 225 226 consider new stewardship approaches and adapt existing management actions based on sound 227 monitoring data, best available science, and Indigenous Knowledge that are guided by conservation 228 values. 229

F. Use sound professional judgment. Refuge Managers must use sound professional judgment to ensure that management actions benefit wildlife conservation by maintaining and, where necessary and appropriate, restoring and enhancing BIDEH. Sound professional judgment, as defined in the Refuge Administration Act, as amended, and existing Refuge System regulations, means managers must make their finding, determination, or decision to conduct a refuge management activity consistent with principles of sound fish and wildlife management and available science and resources, as well as their field experience and knowledge of the particular refuge's resources.

G. Use best available science. Refuge Managers must use the best available scientific information to inform their sound professional judgment, in accordance with the Department of the Interior's Scientific Integrity Policy (<u>305 DM 3</u>), Service policy on Scientific Integrity and Scholarly Conduct (<u>212 FW 7</u>) and Data Management (<u>274 FW 1</u>), and the Service's Information Quality Guidelines. Evidence-based decisions guided by the best available science and data, as well as Indigenous Knowledge and peer review as prescribed in this policy, help ensure management actions benefit wildlife conservation.

H. Allow compatible wildlife-dependent recreation. The priority wildlife-dependent public uses,
established by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, are not in conflict with
this policy when the Refuge Manager determines they are compatible with the purposes of the refuge
and the mission of the Refuge System. However, conserving and managing BIDEH may require spatial
or temporal zoning of public use programs and associated infrastructures. Success in maintaining,
restoring, or enhancing BIDEH generally provides higher quality opportunities for wildlife-dependent
public use.

252 253 I. Coordinate with Adjacent Landowners, State and Tribal partners. We recognize that refuge 254 management can affect adjacent landowners and other partners, including States and Tribes. To 255 provide the greatest conservation benefits across the landscape, we encourage cooperation and 256 coordination with State fish and wildlife agencies in our efforts to ensure BIDEH, as appropriate and consistent with 43 CFR 24.4(e) and the Service's policy on Coordination and Cooperative Work with 257 258 State Fish and Wildlife Agencies (601 FW 7). We also encourage effective coordination, interaction, 259 and cooperation with owners of land adjoining refuges. We also cooperate and coordinate with federally recognized Tribes and other Indigenous Peoples, consistent with the Service's Native American Policy 260 261 (510 FW 1), to protect treaty, religious, subsistence, and cultural interests in Refuge System lands, 262 waters, and wildlife.

3.10 What management directives does the Service follow to ensure BIDEH? The following directives create a framework within which Refuge Managers can determine and implement management activities and uses. They accord with our BIDEH regulations at 50 CFR 29.3(c) (*under development*) and guide management decisions consistent with other applicable laws, regulations, and policy. When making management decisions in line with these directives, we assess and minimize the risk of unintended consequences, basing our actions on the best available science and sound professional judgment.

A. Address climate change.

263

273

283

(1) Climate change and other anthropogenic stressors are transforming historical species composition
 and the ecological function of refuge habitats. Climate change is a major driver in species decline and
 biodiversity loss, and ecosystem conservation can serve an essential role in climate change mitigation
 and adaptation, as well as species survival and recovery.

(a) Supporting biological diversity helps ensure a variety of life to adapt across a broad range of
uncertain future conditions. Because of this, we manage species and habitats by deploying
climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies when necessary to meet statutory
requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH.

(b) Supporting biological integrity through functioning, adaptive environments also provides vital
 ecosystem services such as fire resistance, pollination, flood control, and protective buffers for
 both wildlife habitats and human communities against extreme weather events. For example,

- protecting and restoring biodiverse habitats can help mitigate climate change by increasing their
 carbon sequestration and storage capacity, as well as by supporting ecological adaptation to
 climate change effects.
- (2) We may use a combination of management responses to address climate change impacts. For
 example, in some locations we may work to resist climate change by maintaining or restoring
 ecosystems based on historical conditions. In other locations we may accept climate-driven ecological
 transformation by allowing ecosystems to change without intervention, or we may direct ecological
 transformation by actively shaping ecosystems toward conditions supporting new diverse assemblages
 of species and habitat types. This combination of approaches helps minimize biodiversity loss and
 promotes potential future gains in biodiversity as ecosystems adapt and change.

B. Conserve and connect habitat.

301 (1) We prioritize deference to natural processes and promote conservation, restoration, and ecological 302 connectivity as a means of achieving refuge habitat objectives and landscape planning goals. However, 303 when natural processes cannot meet refuge objectives or facilitate adaptation to anthropogenic change, 304 we may intervene with science-based management techniques or acquire lands to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. For example, we may use prescribed fire, 305 306 silvicultural practices, reforestation, conservation translocation, provision of habitat structures, moist soil 307 management, or other techniques that mimic natural processes to conserve habitat function, restore 308 ecosystem services, and support climate change adaptation. We may implement these techniques 309 through the step-down planning process (see 602 FW 4).

310

298

(2) We take a proactive approach to identifying, acquiring, and conserving lands and waters that are critical for maintaining, restoring, and enhancing the BIDEH of the Refuge System at all spatial scales, consistent with our Refuge System planning policies (602 FW 1 - 6). We avoid and minimize habitat fragmentation.

315

(a) Protected, connected, and intact habitats are essential to sustain biological integrity and
 diversity as many species require habitat corridors, linkages, or contiguous blocks to allow
 seasonal migrations, gene flow, and movement in response to changing conditions.

319

324

327

334

(b) We conserve such refuge habitats to support species persistence and resilience, facilitate
 adaptation to climate-induced range shifts, and enable species to adapt, disperse, or adjust to
 other anthropogenic stressors such as habitat loss, invasive species, overexploitation, and
 pollution.

- (c) We may seek to acquire additional lands to establish wildlife corridors that improve
 connectivity and allow species movement from one habitat to another in support of BIDEH.
- (3) We seek to maintain BIDEH at the refuge scale and restore or enhance lost or degraded elements
 at all spatial scales where it supports refuge purposes or adaptation to anthropogenic change. Our
 Habitat and Species Step-down Planning policy (602 FW 6 *under development*) advises us to assess
 and, when necessary, improve ecosystem conditions for priority habitats and priority species while
 considering historical, current, and plausible future ecological conditions on our refuges across multiple
 spatial scales.
- 335 (4) We avoid resource intensive activities and uses such as logging or livestock grazing unless they:
- 337 (a) Are prescribed in approved management plans;

- (b) Comply with compatibility requirements and are consistent with refuge purposes;
 - (c) Align with our BIDEH regulations and all principles and directives of this policy; and
 - (d) Only when more natural methods, such as fire or grazing by native herbivores, cannot achieve refuge goals and objectives.

346 (5) See section 3.13 for further guidance on certain habitat management activities and uses, including
 347 use of agricultural practices and pesticides.
 348

349 **C. Conserve and manage fish and wildlife populations.**

350 351 (1) We conserve and manage fish and wildlife populations to meet refuge population objectives, sustain ecosystems, and, where appropriate, restore or recover imperiled species. We prioritize deference to 352 353 natural processes as the default for determining sustainable populations. However, when habitat 354 conditions or natural processes are insufficient to meet refuge population goals and objectives or 355 facilitate adaptation to anthropogenic change, we may supplement them with science-based 356 management techniques to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. For 357 example, supplemental management actions could include captive breeding, reintroduction, stocking, 358 or supplementary feeding to support viable populations of threatened or endangered species on 359 refuges. 360

- (2) We maintain, or contribute to the maintenance of, populations of native species. We formulate our management strategies by considering population densities, social structures, and population dynamics at the refuge scale and how anthropogenic change and ecological transformation have altered or may alter the natural processes driving population parameters. We also consider population objectives set by national plans and programs in which we are partners, such as the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.
- (a) In general, we adaptively manage native populations to mimic the densities and levels of
 variation created by natural processes, while maintaining viable populations of imperiled or rare
 species.
- (b) Due to surrounding habitat loss or other anthropogenic change, on some refuges we may
 establish goals and objectives for higher population densities than might naturally occur to
 achieve a closer approximation of natural densities at larger spatial scales.
- (c) Our management goals and practices target population densities that would not result in
 adverse effects on wildlife and habitat such as disease, excessive nutrient accumulation, or the
 competitive exclusion of other native species.
- (3) We do not require any action to reduce or eradicate self-sustaining populations of non-native,
 noninvasive species (e.g., pheasants) unless those species interfere with fulfilling refuge purposes or
 ensuring BIDEH. We manage invasive species, genetically engineered organisms, and species
 introductions in accordance with <u>section 3.13</u> of this policy, which also addresses predator control and
 mosquito control.

386 **D. Uphold water rights.**

387

385

367

375

379

340 341

342

343344

345

388 (1) Water is a foundational element for ecological health and thus critical to sustaining ecosystems, yet

389 climate change and other anthropogenic drivers are exacerbating water scarcity across the United 390 States. Reduced water supplies hamper our ability to meet refuge purposes and the Refuge System 391 mission, particularly in conserving wetland and riparian areas. As precipitation patterns change and 392 drought impacts larger geographic areas, the Service should improve efficiencies in hydrological 393 infrastructure and water use and must pursue and secure the water rights necessary to support the 394 migratory birds, fish, and other wildlife that rely on refuge habitats. The Refuge Administration Act, as 395 amended, requires us to "... assist in the maintenance of adequate water quantity and quality to fulfill 396 the mission of the System and the purposes of each refuge" and establishes a clear duty to "... acquire 397 under State law, water rights that are needed for refuge purposes" (see 16 U.S.C. 668dd(a)(4)(F) - (G)). 398

399 (2) We must maintain and exercise our water rights, including, but not limited to, contracts, 400 entitlements, and other acquisitions, allocations, or conveyance mechanisms, on refuge habitats in 401 accordance with local, State, and Federal laws, and acquire, transfer, or lease water rights where 402 necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. This includes, for 403 example, improving agency operations with respect to identification and protection of relevant water 404 rights, working with willing partners to transfer additional water rights to refuges, acquiring water assets 405 through contracts, purchasing water rights with appropriated funds, acquiring new refuge lands with 406 appurtenant senior water rights, and participating in State water rights adjudications and Federal 407 agency negotiations to perfect and defend refuge water rights. See the Service's Water Rights policy at 408 403 FW 1 for more information. 409

410 E. Promote and maintain healthy soil, water, and air. We must promote and maintain abiotic 411 features vital for sustaining and restoring refuge habitats, such as soil health, water quality and 412 quantity, and air quality. Among all abiotic resources present on refuges, these features are partially within our management control and are especially important to ensuring environmental health. To the 413 414 extent we have jurisdiction over soil, water, and air, we must conserve and manage these essential 415 resources to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. We must address 416 threats to these abiotic components, including when such threats to refuge resources arise outside 417 refuge boundaries, by pursuing appropriate action in accordance with section 3.15 of this policy. 418

419 (1) Soil: Refuge management actions must strive to maintain healthy soils as a foundation for living 420 ecosystems. Soil degradation—compaction, depleted nutrients, contamination, erosion, and increased 421 sedimentation in waterways—can cause population declines in fish and other species, while healthy 422 soils cycle nutrients, filter potential pollutants, provide physical stability, regulate water flows, and 423 sustain plant and animal life. Healthy soils also increase ecosystem capacity for biological carbon 424 sequestration, thereby mitigating the effects of climate change. Techniques to promote soil health may 425 include rebuilding organic soil material, restoring soil biodiversity, maximizing the presence of living 426 roots, and reducing mechanical manipulation and synthetic inputs. 427

428 (2) Water: In addition to addressing water deficiencies as described in section 3.10(D), we also address 429 water quantity and quality impairments on refuges resulting from previous and current land 430 uses, stormwater or agricultural runoff, pollutants from off-refuge discharges, floods, and upstream 431 sources. Techniques to improve water quantity and quality may include restoring wetland and riparian areas as well as watershed forests, engaging with State and local water quality agencies, reducing the 432 433 use of pesticides, and following best management practices when conducting agriculture or forestry 434 activities on refuges. For information on compliance with the Clean Water Act, see the Service's Clean 435 Water Act policy at 561 FW 3. 436

- 437 (3) *Air:* We must employ best management practices to promote air quality. We recognize that
- 438 operation of vehicles, equipment, and the use of certain important management tools, such as
- 439 prescribed fire, may have a negative, but temporary, impact on air quality. To lessen these negative

440 impacts and minimize air quality degradation, we must apply effective and practicable management

- 441 practices or undertake measures consistent with Service policy and regulation. For example, we may
- transition to fuel efficient vehicles and heavy equipment with higher emission standards, adhere to the Service's Fire Management program and air guality protection policies (621 FW 1 and 563 FW 1,
- respectively), and coordinate with State and local air quality management agencies to reduce impacts on air quality.
- 3.11 What factors does the Service consider when maintaining, restoring, and enhancing

BIDEH? We consider the elements of BIDEH in an integrated and holistic manner. The highest
 measure of BIDEH on refuges is represented by diverse, functioning, and self-sustaining habitats and
 wildlife populations that are resilient to emerging or future conditions.

451

457

452 **A. Biological integrity.**453

(1) We evaluate biological integrity by referencing the extent to which biological composition, structure,
 and function have been altered throughout time and examining the extent to which they may be altered
 by climate change and other stressors in the future.

- (a) Biological composition refers to biological components such as genes, populations, species, and communities.
- 460
 461 (b) Biological structure refers to the organization of biological components, such as gene
 462 frequencies, social structures of populations, food webs, and niche partitioning within
 463 communities.
- 464
- 465 (c) Biological function refers to the processes that biological components undergo, such as
 466 genetic recombination, population migration, the evolution of species, and community
 467 succession.
 468
- 469 (2) Biological integrity lies along a continuum from a biological system extensively degraded by climate 470 change and other anthropogenic stressors to a more natural system that has experienced less 471 anthropogenic change. We strive to prevent further loss of the natural biological features and processes 472 that comprise biological integrity and to restore or enhance ecosystem function or facilitate ecological 473 transformation, where appropriate. When climate change generates novel ecosystems and biological 474 assemblages, we may conserve comparable ecological function rather than historical species 475 composition. We consider current changes to the frequency and timing of natural processes such as 476 flooding and fires, and use appropriate tools to identify and assess potential future shifts. We may find it necessary to implement management actions that modify the frequency and timing of natural processes 477 478 at the refuge scale to fulfill refuge purpose(s), contribute to biological integrity at larger spatial scales, 479 support adaptation, or promote desired future conditions.
- 480
- (3) Because maintaining or restoring biological integrity is not the same as maximizing biological
 diversity, we consider how managing for biological integrity can support biological diversity.
 Maintaining biological integrity may entail managing for a single species or community at some refuges
 and combinations of species or communities at other refuges. For example, maintaining habitat for an
 endangered species on a refuge may reduce biological diversity at the refuge scale, while it contributes
 to biological integrity and diversity at the ecosystem or Refuge System scale.
- 487488 B. Biological diversity.
- 489
- 490 (1) We evaluate biological diversity at various taxonomic levels, including class, order, family, genus,

- species, subspecies, and—for purposes of Endangered Species Act (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.)
 implementation—distinct population segment. These evaluations of biological diversity begin with a
 baseline inventory of flora and fauna at the refuge level. We also consider biological diversity occurring
 on refuges in the context of other spatial scales.
- 495

496 (2) We reference historical species composition and consider the extent to which climate change and
497 other anthropogenic change have altered or may alter biological diversity in the future as refuge
498 ecosystems transform and species and habitats shift in range. We strive to maintain populations of
499 breeding individuals that are genetically viable and functional. We provide for the breeding, migrating,
500 and wintering needs of migratory species. We attempt to maximize the size of contiguous habitat,
501 restore and maintain connectivity between blocks of habitats, and protect wildlife corridors to foster
502 ecosystem resilience and help ensure species can move naturally throughout habitats as needed.

504 **C. Environmental health.**

505
506 (1) We evaluate environmental health by referencing the extent to which environmental composition,
507 structure, and function have been altered throughout time, and examining the extent to which climate
508 change and other stressors may alter them in the future.

- 510 (a) Environmental composition refers to abiotic components such as soil, water, and air.
- (b) Environmental structure refers to the organization of abiotic components, such as
 topography, aquifer structure, and atmospheric layering.
- 514515(c) Environmental function refers to the processes that involve abiotic components, such as516erosion, tidal regimes, evaporation, and wind.
- 517
 518 (2) We especially consider environmental features as they affect living organisms, avoiding degradation
 519 of environmental health on refuges to the maximum extent possible to support species conservation.
 520
- (a) For example, at the genetic level, we manage for environmental health by preventing or
 remediating contamination of soil, water, and air that may interfere with reproductive physiology
 or stimulate high rates of mutation.
- (b) At the population and community levels, we manage for environmental health by protecting
 the habitat components of food, water, cover, and space. For example, we seek to prevent food
 and water from becoming polluted, resource use and extraction from impacting species'
 biological needs, anthropogenic noise and light pollution from compromising migration and
 reproduction patterns, and unnatural physical structures and other infrastructure from displacing
 species or creating obstacles to wildlife movement.

531 532 3.12 How does the Service reference historical conditions and incorporate information on 533 environmental change into management decisions, and where do employees obtain this 534 information?

535

A. An ecological frame of reference within which to compare the changing conditions of refuge ecosystems is essential to ensuring that the BIDEH of the Refuge System is maintained and, where necessary and appropriate, restored and enhanced. Because climate change and other anthropogenic stressors such as habitat loss, invasive species, water scarcity, overexploitation, and pollution are transforming ecosystems, we develop this frame of reference by considering both historical conditions and plausible future ecological conditions for a refuge's species and habitats. This frame of reference

- allows us to examine how refuge ecosystems functioned prior to anthropogenic ecological degradation,
 assess current conditions, and identify desired future conditions to guide refuge goals and objectives.
- 544
 545 **B.** We consider historical conditions as a reference point, rather than an end goal, for refuge
 546 management. This unterhers current and future management actions from sustaining historical
 547 conditions that may no longer be possible or desirable on many refuges, while acknowledging the value
 548 of a contextual historical baseline for developing management goals and objectives.
- (1) We reference historical conditions on and around refuges to identify the composition, structure, and
 functional processes that shaped ecosystems before changes in land use altered and fragmented
 habitat, diminished wildlife populations, and drove species to extirpation or extinction.
- (2) We particularly seek to identify keystone species, indicator species, and the types of communities
 that may have previously occurred on the refuge, ascertain basic information on ecosystem structure
 and function, e.g., distribution of plant communities, and understand the scale and frequency of natural
 processes such as fire regimes, flooding events, and plant community succession.
- (3) We may reference the historical conditions of similar ecosystems beyond refuge boundaries to
 provide guidance for assessing novel or emerging ecosystems on refuges.
- 561 562 **C.** We consider how environmental change is currently affecting BIDEH at multiple spatial scales, especially at the refuge level. We assess how anthropogenic change and climate-driven ecological 563 564 transformation are rearranging historical species composition, causing habitats to shift outside their 565 historical range, and altering refuge ecosystems by contrasting current conditions with historical conditions. We identify plausible future ecological conditions and desired future conditions through 566 scenario planning, and we use this information as the basis for determining whether to resist, accept, or 567 direct changes in habitat or ecosystem processes, function, structure, or composition. We incorporate 568 569 this analysis into our management goals and objectives determined in our planning processes and 570 implement appropriate climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies in accordance with the directives in section 3.10 to ensure that BIDEH is maintained on the refuge and across the larger 571 572 Refuge System.
- 573
 574 **D.** We obtain information on historical conditions, climate change and other anthropogenic change, and
 575 desired future conditions from a range of sources, including published, peer-reviewed research;
 576 literature reviews; data and information produced by Federal, State, local, and Tribal governments;
 577 Indigenous Peoples; scientific and non-governmental organizations; our own primary scientific
 578 investigations; and similar sources.
- (1) Information on historical conditions may be historical, archeological, or from related fields of inquiry, and may include Indigenous Knowledge held by, written by, and in some cases, contained in oral or pictographic accounts of Indigenous Peoples. Records of explorers, surveyors, traders, and early settlers are also important historical references, as well as studies of soil sediments, tree rings, and similar indicators of historical conditions.
- 585

549

- 586 (2) Archeological information may be derived from collections of cultural artifacts maintained by
 587 scientific institutions, as well as our own archaeological explorations on refuges.
 588
- (3) In addition to primary and applied research, scientifically modeled projections at appropriate scales
 are critical for understanding the effects of climate change and other anthropogenic change, as well as
 strategies for achieving desired future conditions on refuges.
- 592

(4) Finally, during our planning processes, we must regularly update our information on historical
 conditions, current conditions, environmental change, and desired future conditions as scientific
 information improves.

595 596

597 MANAGEMENT DECISIONS

598

599 3.13 How does the Service conduct select management activities and uses identified in the 600 BIDEH regulations? Our regulations at 50 CFR 29.3(d) (under development) and this policy provide 601 additional guidance for certain management activities and uses that have a particular propensity to 602 impact BIDEH. Refuge Managers may only conduct these activities and allow these uses, explained below, in conformance with the standards and directives at 50 CFR 29.3(a) and (c), which are also 603 604 integrated into this policy. While the regulations direct a default position regarding use of each management practice, they also provide flexibility to implement them as conservation tools when the 605 606 Refuge Manager determines they are necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH based on a comprehensive analysis under NEPA, other legal mandates, and peer 607 608 review, as applicable.

- 609 610 **A. Native predator control.** We generally prohibit control of native predators because a growing scientific record indicates that predators are essential to maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem 611 612 function. We prioritize maintaining the evolutionary and ecological role of predators and predation on refuges to comply with the Refuge Administration Act, as amended, and authorize predator control only 613 in response to a specific and significant conservation concern as determined by the Service. 614 615 Accordingly, we only permit predator control in limited circumstances when such action is necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. For example, predator control 616 617 may be deemed necessary to protect a threatened or endangered species, or to prevent extirpation of a local prey population when such loss would be detrimental to the overall conservation of that species. 618 619 620 (1) In all cases, we first must evaluate the use of nonlethal techniques for predator control, such as live trapping or hazing, before conducting any lethal removal. We allow use of lethal predator control only 621 after we have evaluated other feasible alternative methods, and we consider it the only practical means 622 623 of addressing the conservation concern and ensuring BIDEH. Most predator control activities will 624 require scientific peer review prior to approval. We determine whether to conduct peer review based on
- 625 626

628

632

641

627 (2) We do not include the following actions in our definition of predator control:

the peer review requirements in section 3.14C.

(a) Agency removal of native predator(s) solely to protect public health and safety, for example,
 removal of an individual animal responsible for attacks on humans or to control a wildlife
 disease outbreak;

- (b) Use of barriers or nonlethal deterrents to protect the public, property, or vulnerable species,
 but that are not intended to reduce native predator populations;
- 635
 636
 637
 (c) Compatible, refuge-approved taking of fish and wildlife for subsistence uses under Federal or State subsistence regulations that do not compromise maintaining BIDEH on the refuge;
- 638
 639 (d) Compatible, refuge-approved recreational hunting and fishing opportunities that do not compromise maintaining BIDEH on the refuge; and
- 642 (e) Removal of invasive species.

- 644 **B.** Conservation translocations. Climate change is causing, or is anticipated to cause, suitable 645 habitat for many species to shift beyond their historical range, while other threats may also reduce the 646 viability of habitat to support one or more life history stages within a species' current or historical range. 647 When species are unable to adapt to changing environmental conditions or move to more suitable habitats, it may result in extinction, extirpation, or loss of ecosystem function. Consequently, it may be 648 649 necessary and appropriate for refuges to authorize species' translocation to other areas within or outside their current range, including areas beyond their historical range, to facilitate better adaption to 650 651 the impacts of climate change and other threats.
- 652

(1) We may allow the introduction or reintroduction of a species to Refuge System habitats outside its
 current range to avoid extinction or extirpation, restore a species to its former range, reestablish a
 specific ecological function lost to extinction or extirpation of another species, or in accordance with
 regulations under the ESA regarding management of experimental populations (see 50 CFR 17.81(a)).
 We may allow such conservation translocations only when necessary to meet statutory requirements,
 fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH as informed by the best available scientific information.

- 659
- (2) All conservation translocations that propose to move a species outside of its current range require a
 comprehensive risk assessment to inform management decisions and analyze potential threats to both
 the source population and destination ecosystem.
- (a) Such translocations will likely also require scientific peer review prior to approval, which may
 be integrated with the risk assessment. We determine whether to conduct peer review based on
 the peer review requirements in <u>section 3.14C</u>.
- (b) Such translocations also require an implementation plan that includes procedures for
 handling, transporting, and releasing the translocated species; long-term management
 strategies; and monitoring protocols to determine whether a population is becoming established
 and to ensure there are no unexpected adverse impacts on the destination ecosystem and
 associated human, animal, or plant communities. If unintended adverse consequences are
 observed, we must adapt our management strategies to remediate the concerns and ensure
 BIDEH of refuge ecosystems.
- 675
- (c) See the Service's policy on Conservation Introductions (*under development* at 701 FW 3) for
 additional information and requirements for moving a species outside its historical (indigenous)
 range.
- (3) In general, proposals for conservation translocations that move a species within its current range
 should also follow the procedures in section 3.13B(2) above, particularly those proposals deemed
 significant Federal actions under NEPA. We must conduct all conservation translocations consistent
 with other applicable Service policies on capturing, handling, and transporting species, and comply with
 all applicable State laws and regulations.
- 685
 686 C. Use of Genetically Engineered Organisms (GEO). We take a cautionary approach to our wildlife
 687 management practices by generally prohibiting the use of plants, animals, or other organisms that have
 688 been genetically modified through genetic engineering, subject to the exceptions described in this
 689 section. We take this approach because GEOs can have unpredictable and unintended effects on
 690 species and ecosystems, necessitating careful assessment of their use on refuges on a case-by-case
 691 basis. Refuge Managers must obtain authorization for use of GEOs consistent with applicable Service
 692 policies.
- 693

(1) We recognize that scientific advances in genetic engineering may provide vital management tools to
 improve species conservation and ecosystem health, particularly in response to climate change or
 other anthropogenic change, invasive species, and other stressors. For example, genetic engineering
 may advance our ability to protect and restore imperiled species in the face of climate change by
 introducing adaptive traits such as heat or drought tolerance and disease or pest resistance in plants or
 animals. For this reason, we may allow exceptions for use of GEOs when it is necessary to meet
 statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH.

- 701
- (a) We require a comprehensive risk assessment to inform management decisions by assessing
 the proposed use of GEOs and analyzing the potential threats to the recipient ecosystem and
 associated human, animal, and plant communities.
- (b) The proposed use of GEOs will also likely require scientific peer review prior to approval,
 which may be integrated with the risk assessment. We determine whether to conduct peer
 review based on the peer review requirements in section 3.14C.
- 709 710 (2) We typically do not use Genetically Engineered (modified) Crops (GEC) on the Refuge System 711 because we have demonstrated that their use has not been essential to meet wildlife management 712 objectives, i.e., GECs are generally unnecessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, 713 and ensure BIDEH. We apply the same requirements as described for other GEOs to consider the rare 714 situations in which GECs may be needed, for example, to facilitate habitat restoration or climate adaptation efforts. Refuge Managers must seek Regional Chief approval for use of GECs that they 715 716 deem necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. Regional 717 Chief approval for each proposed use of GECs may be granted only on a case-by-case basis in 718 accordance with this policy. 719
- **D. Invasive species management.** Invasive species pose a variety of threats to native species, ecosystems, and human health and safety. Facing little if any natural controls, invasive species can spread quickly into refuge habitats, causing extensive and costly damage to refuge ecosystems, reducing biodiversity, altering natural processes, and compromising our conservation mission. Therefore, we actively pursue actions to control invasive species when necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH.
- Actions to control invasive species include preventing their introduction; engaging in detection,
 eradication, and control of invasive populations; and restoring native species and habitats in invaded
 ecosystems.
- (2) Refuge Managers must produce an integrated pest management plan to make decisions regarding
 invasive species control and to ensure a science-based process that minimizes risk to environmental
 health. An integrated pest management plan entails using current information about an invasive
 species' biology and life cycle, in combination with the most appropriate suite of mechanical, chemical,
 biological, and cultural tools to effectively prevent, eradicate, and control invasive species while
 protecting native fish, wildlife, plants, and human health. See <u>569 FW 1</u>, Integrated Pest Management,
 for more information.
- 738
- E. Pesticide use. Refuge Managers use pesticides—including herbicides, insecticides, rodenticides, piscicides, and fungicides—to serve a variety of management objectives such as controlling invasive species and supporting crop production to provide forage for waterfowl. However, pesticides may also potentially threaten vulnerable species and habitats as well as the health and safety of Service staff and the public who may be exposed to harmful chemicals through drift, runoff, or direct exposure.
 Consistent with Department of the Interior and Service policy, we take all reasonable steps to minimize

or, when feasible, eliminate the use of and dependence on chemical pest control treatments. Refuge
 Managers may only allow pesticide use on refuges in the interest of our conservation mission when
 necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes and ensure BIDEH.

748 749 (1) Given the growing record of scientific evidence documenting the potential harm to imperiled and 750 sensitive species from exposure to certain chemicals, we avoid pesticide application that may adversely 751 affect the biological function or viability of nontarget populations of fish, wildlife, and plants on refuges 752 (considering potential impacts at the population rather than the individual level). For example, we 753 generally prohibit the use of pesticides such as neonicotinoid insecticides and the herbicide dicamba, 754 which are proven to cause adverse effects on populations of nontarget species. We aim to prevent 755 negative impacts to pollinators, birds, and aquatic species that are particularly vulnerable to pesticide 756 use.

757 758 (2) In addition to only being allowed when necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge 759 purposes and ensure BIDEH, pesticides may only be applied on refuge habitats in strict conformance 760 with an integrated pest management plan and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as well as other relevant laws, regulations, and policies. Refuge staff may only use those pesticides 761 and applications approved for use in the Refuge System through the Pesticide Use Proposal System 762 (PUPS) database. For more information, see 569 FW 1, Integrated Pest Management, and 242 FW 7, 763 764 Pesticide Users Safety. 765

F. Agricultural use. We implement agricultural practices on the Refuge System only where we cannot 766 767 meet our wildlife management objectives or legal requirements through maintenance, management, 768 restoration, or mimicking of natural ecosystem processes or functions. We generally do not allow refuge uses or management practices that result in the maintenance of artificial monocultures. Accordingly, we 769 770 prohibit agricultural use, including the use of cooperative agriculture, unless the Refuge Manager 771 determines that we cannot achieve refuge management objectives through natural processes, and it is 772 necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. Agricultural use 773 that involves pesticides or GEOs is prohibited except in rare situations in accordance with sections 774 3.13(E) and 3.13(C)(2) along with additional applicable policy and regulatory requirements. 775

776 (1) Agricultural practices, such as crop cultivation, having, grazing, or the harvest of vegetative products, may vary from refuge to refuge along with the techniques used to conduct these practices. 777 778 For example, some refuges grow corn or other grain to provide food for migratory birds when natural 779 processes are insufficient, while other refuges use farming in rotation with moist-soil management 780 techniques that mimic natural wetlands to support birds and other species. When we conduct or 781 authorize agriculture as a conservation tool in accordance with 620 FW 2, Cooperative Agricultural Use, and other applicable legal requirements, we must ensure that it is informed by the best available 782 783 scientific information, fully evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and implemented only when it benefits 784 fish and wildlife conservation, enhances BIDEH, and supports 620 FW 1. 785

(2) Where we do not need to use farming or other agricultural practices to meet statutory requirements,
 fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH, we appropriately cease the activity in accordance with any
 legal agreements and requirements, and strive to restore the location to native habitats.

789

G. Mosquito control. Native mosquitoes are a natural part of refuge ecosystems. Unless they interfere
 with refuge-specific management goals and objectives or cause a public or wildlife health risk, native
 mosquitoes are allowed to exist unimpeded on refuge habitats.

793 704

(1) We generally prohibit mosquito control except when necessary to meet statutory requirements, fulfill
 refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH, or to protect human health and safety. If we must use mosquito

control, the methods chosen must be the least injurious to fish, wildlife, and their habitats.

797

798 (2) The primary public health concern associated with mosquitoes on refuges is mosquito-vectored 799 pathogens that cause disease. When faced with such issues, we may coordinate with public health 800 agencies or mosquito control organizations to implement the most effective methods for controlling 801 mosquitoes that minimize risk to refuge ecosystems and public health, with a preference for use of non-802 pesticide-based tools. In this way we protect both human and wildlife health while mitigating potential 803 detrimental effects of mosquito control on refuge ecosystems. The Service's Handbook for Mosquito Management on National Wildlife Refuges and 569 FW 1 provide additional information regarding our 804 805 science-based approach to mosquito control.

805

3.14 How does the Service make management decisions to maintain, restore, and enhance BIDEH?

810 A. BIDEH authorities. We comply with all legal mandates, including our BIDEH regulations at 50 CFR 811 29.3 (under development), follow the principles under section 3.9 of this policy, apply the management directives under section 3.10, consider the factors under section 3.11, comply with the requirements 812 813 under section 3.13, and conduct peer review as prescribed in this section. This means that all 814 management activities and uses are subject to the underlying conservation principle that defers to 815 natural processes and favors management that mimics natural processes, that we base our 816 management decisions on sound professional judgment and the best available science, that we account for climate change and other anthropogenic change, and that management actions must 817 818 benefit wildlife conservation by contributing to the BIDEH of the Refuge System. 819 820 **B.** Integration of other authorities. We follow applicable legal requirements and policy direction prior

B. Integration of other authorities. We follow applicable legal requirements and policy direction prior
 to implementing a management activity, including consideration of obligations arising from treaties
 between Indian tribes and the United States, conducting NEPA analysis, compatibility determinations,
 and ESA consultation when required.

(1) We evaluate the necessity for and potential environmental effects of a proposed management
 activity in accordance with NEPA, as appropriate. NEPA analysis may occur either as part of a refuge's
 Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP), other approved management plan, or standalone review.

(2) All management activities and uses must be consistent with a refuge's CCP. We integrate the
 principles and directives of this policy into comprehensive conservation planning, including step-down
 planning and land protection planning, as we direct long-range refuge management and identify desired
 future conditions for refuges (see our planning policies at 602 FW 1-4). Our CCPs include goals that
 ensure refuge management maintains and, when necessary and appropriate, restores and enhances
 the BIDEH of the Refuge System.

835

(3) We require compatibility determinations for refuge management economic activities such as certain
agricultural practices that generate commodities, as well as activities that involve use of a refuge by the
public or other non-Refuge System entity. When completing compatibility determinations, Refuge
Managers use sound professional judgment to determine if a refuge use will materially interfere with or
detract from the fulfillment of the Refuge System mission or the purpose(s) of the refuge. Protecting
BIDEH is inherent in fulfilling both. See <u>603 FW 2</u>, Compatibility, and regulations at 50 CFR parts 25,
26, and 29 for further information on compatibility determinations.

C. Peer review. We require a formal peer review proposal prior to approving refuge management actions if they use precedent-setting methods or models, are of high ecological risk or controversy, are likely to change prevailing practices, or are likely to affect policy decisions of significant environmental

847 impact. The impetus for peer review is commensurate with the level of risk and controversy inherent to 848 the proposed activity. The peer review process informs refuge management by critiquing whether such proposed action is warranted, effective, and consistent with the best available science, and whether it 849 850 avoids unintended ecological consequences. It provides a meaningful, scientific evaluation for Refuge Managers to rely on in determining whether the proposed action is necessary to meet statutory 851 852 requirements, fulfill refuge purposes, and ensure BIDEH. Peer review may be integrated with, or occur 853 separately from, an ecological risk assessment. Notably, refuges that seek to conduct predator control, 854 use genetically modified organisms, or introduce species outside their historical range will typically be 855 required to develop a peer review proposal unless the proposed activity has previously undergone such 856 scientific review within the past 10 years and no substantial and ensuing changes in scientific 857 knowledge or relevant circumstances have occurred that could alter the prior analysis.

859 (1) We consult with the Refuge System's Headquarters office to organize and manage a consistent 860 peer review approach. The peer review proposal must describe the management objectives, rationale, methods, monitoring protocols, reporting requirements, and budget for the proposed action, including 861 862 an assessment of potential ecological consequences and project sustainability, using the best available scientific information. At least three independent subject matter experts must conduct the peer review 863 864 to evaluate the proposed methodology as well as the action's suitability and feasibility for addressing the conservation concern. The peer review process is publicly disclosed, highlighting the quality of 865 866 Government work while promoting public confidence. For additional information on how to select and 867 conduct an appropriate peer review mechanism for actions taken under this policy, refer to the Office of Management and Budget bulletin, Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review, 70 Fed. Reg. 868 869 2664 (January 14, 2005).

870

858

(2) Refuge Managers do not cede their decision-making authority to peer reviewers. Peer review
 advises refuge management, with the accountable leader(s) making the final decisions on the proposal
 based on the outcome of the peer review and the considerations explained in this policy.

874

D. BIDEH balance. We strive to make management decisions in a holistic manner, balancing fulfillment
of refuge purposes with maintenance of BIDEH. In the requirements for administering the Refuge
System under the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, Congress elevated
ensuring the maintenance of BIDEH to a similar level of importance as ensuring the mission of the
System and the purposes of each refuge are carried out. We therefore implement these integral
mandates together to provide the greatest conservation benefits for fish and wildlife.

881

882 (1) In the rare instance that a conflict arises between fulfilling refuge purposes and ensuring BIDEH, we 883 seek to maintain and, where necessary and appropriate, restore and enhance BIDEH to the maximum extent possible while pursuing refuge purposes. This means that at times we may have to augment. 884 885 limit, or adjust our actions intended to fulfill refuge purposes to avoid long-term damage to BIDEH on a 886 refuge or the Refuge System. Similarly, at times we may have to compromise elements of BIDEH in pursuit of refuge purposes to support those elements at larger spatial scales, particularly when 887 addressing the impacts of climate change or other anthropogenic change. For example, a refuge whose 888 889 purpose is to conserve an imperiled species may find that implementing actions that protect that 890 species reduces biological diversity at the refuge scale, but contributes to biological diversity at the 891 landscape scale. 892

(2) We balance the interconnected elements of BIDEH by considering refuge purposes, the Refuge
 System mission, multiple spatial scales, and response to environmental change. The primary

895 mechanism we use to determine this balance is through the refuge planning processes. If BIDEH,

- refuge purposes, or the Refuge System mission are considered independently or without anthropogenic
- 897 change and ecological transformation in mind, strategies to conserve and manage BIDEH may

otherwise conflict or fail to meet conservation challenges. For example, we may use dikes and water
 control structures to mimic natural hydrological cycles or use rotenone to eliminate invasive carp from a
 pond to restore aquatic ecosystems. Such physical structures and chemical applications would
 compromise environmental health if considered in isolation, but they may be appropriate management
 actions for maintaining, restoring, or enhancing biological integrity and diversity overall.

903

904 3.15 How does the Service protect BIDEH from actions outside of refuges? Recognizing that 905 refuges are integral parts of the larger landscape, and to support our wildlife conservation mission, we 906 must work cooperatively with others to anticipate, avoid, and resolve potential conflicts; protect refuge 907 resources; and address mutual interests in providing compatible wildlife-dependent recreational 908 opportunities. Such cooperative conservation activities are vital to establishing relationships that will 909 benefit the refuge and uphold BIDEH. In addition, we must use all available tools to protect refuge 910 resources from unacceptable impacts.

911

912 A. Events occurring off refuge lands or waters may injure or destroy BIDEH on a refuge. Refuge 913 Managers must regularly monitor land use proposals, changes to adjacent lands, and external activities 914 for their potential impacts to the BIDEH of ecosystems that include refuges. It is appropriate for Refuge 915 Managers to engage constructively with the broader community in the same way that any good 916 neighbor would. Refuge Managers should encourage compatible adjacent land uses and seek to avoid 917 and mitigate potential adverse impacts on refuge resources by actively participating in the planning and 918 regulatory processes of other Federal agencies and Tribal, State, and local governments having 919 jurisdiction over public or private property affecting, or affected by, the refuge.

920

921 **B.** If the above efforts have failed and a decision is made or is imminent that will result in unacceptable

922 impacts on refuge resources, we may take action within the legal authorities available to the Service.

923 Refuge Managers and Regional Refuge Chiefs must consult with the Office of the Solicitor for

924 assistance in identifying appropriate remedies and obtain concurrence from the Regional Director on 925 such actions.